



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,992	07/09/2001	Naoya Hasegawa	9281-3995	6893	
7590 12/28/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione P.O. Box 10395			MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R		
Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2653		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/900,992	HASEGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher R. Magee	2653		

3	LAGITITICI	Altollic			
	Christopher R. Magee	2653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance of CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed of	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) In the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
	dianag with 27 CED 44 27 must be 4	The distribution from the management	64bd-46		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a supply and the supply filed was a supply fil	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	⊏ below);			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		lucina or cimplificina th	na inauna far		
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially rec	idening of sumplinging ti	ie issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	•	•		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	oplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-70</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attache	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO 1440) Panar N	o(s) . /	1 1		
13. Other:	(1 10/30/00 OFFTO-1449) Faper N	Monar d			
May Tetal					
12/12/205	ŕ	GEØRGE J. LET	TSCHER		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added claim limitation to claim 1: "extend in a nonparallel direction with respect to an interface between the ferromagnetic layer and antiferromagnetic layer" requires further consideration and/or search..